arXiv:1703.05039v1 [math.RA] 15 Mar 2017

ON NON-COMMUTING GRAPH OF A FINITE RING

JUTIREKHA DUTTA AND DHIREN KUMAR BASNET*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University,
Napaam-784028, Sonitpur, Assam India.
*Email: jutirekhadutta@yahoo.com and dbasnet@tezu.ernet.in**

Abstract: The non-commuting graph Γ_R of a finite ring R with center $Z(R)$ is a simple undirected graph whose vertex set is $R \setminus Z(R)$ and two distinct vertices a and b are adjacent if and only if $ab \neq ba$. In this paper, we show that Γ_R is not isomorphic to certain graphs of any finite non-commutative ring R. Some connections between Γ_R and commuting probability of R are also obtained. Further, it is shown that the non-commuting graphs of two $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic rings are isomorphic if the centers of the rings have same order.

Key words: Non-commuting graph, Commuting probability, $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C25, 16U70.

1. Introduction

Let R be a finite ring with center $Z(R)$. The non-commuting graph of R, denoted by Γ_R, is a simple undirected graph whose vertex set is $R \setminus Z(R)$ and two distinct vertices a and b are adjacent if and only if $ab \neq ba$. We write $V(\Gamma_R)$ and $E(\Gamma_R)$ to denote the set of vertices and set of edges of Γ_R respectively. We also write $\deg(v)$ to denote the degree of a vertex v, which is the number of edges incident on v. It is easy to see that

$$\deg(r) = |R| - |C_R(r)| \text{ if } r \in V(\Gamma_R) \tag{1}$$

where $C_R(r) = \{x \in R : xr = rx\}$. Note that $Z(R) = \bigcap_{r \in R} C_R(r)$. Many mathematicians have studied algebraic structures by means of graph theoretical properties in the last decades (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 14] etc.). The notion of non-commuting graph of a finite ring was introduced by Erfanian, Khashyarmanesh and Nafar [10]. In Section 2, we shall show that any disconnected graph, star graph, lollipop graph or complete bipartite graph is not isomorphic to Γ_R for any finite non-commutative ring R. We also obtain a dominating set for Γ_R.

*Corresponding author.

The commuting probability of a finite ring R, denoted by $\Pr(R)$, is the probability that a randomly chosen pair of elements of R commute. In 1976, MacHale [13] introduced the concept of $\Pr(R)$. Several results on $\Pr(R)$ can be found in [5, 6, 7, 8]. In Section 3, we obtain a formula for $|E(\Gamma_R)|$ in terms of $\Pr(R)$ and derive several consequences. We conclude this paper showing that the non-commuting graphs of two $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic finite rings are isomorphic if the centers of the rings have same order.

Recall that a star graph is a tree on n vertices in which one vertex has degree $n-1$ and the others have degree 1. A complete graph is a graph in which every pair of distinct vertices is adjacent. A lollipop graph is a graph consisting of a complete graph and a path graph, connected with a bridge. A bipartite graph is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into two disjoint parts in such a way that the two end vertices of every edge lie in different parts. A complete bipartite graph is a bipartite graph such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they lie in different parts.

2. Some properties of Γ_R

In this section we mainly consider the following problem: given a simple undirected graph G, can we find a ring R such that Γ_R is isomorphic to G? In the following results, we shall show that if G is a disconnected graph, star graph, lollipop graph or complete bipartite graph then Γ_R is not isomorphic to G for any finite ring R.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a finite ring. Then*

(a) *Γ_R is connected.*
(b) *Γ_R is empty graph if and only if R is commutative.*

Proof. (a) Suppose that there exists an isolated vertex r in Γ_R. Then $rs = sr$ for all $s \in R$. Therefore $r \in Z(R)$, a contradiction. Hence, the result follows.

Part (b) follows from the definition of Γ_R. □

Theorem 2.2. *Γ_R is not a star or lollipop graph for any finite non-commutative ring R.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a finite non-commutative ring R such that Γ_R is a star or lollipop graph. Then there exists a vertex r such that $\deg(r) = 1$. This gives $[R : C_R(r)] = |R|/(|R| - 1)$, a contradiction. Hence, the result follows. □

In fact, the proof of the above theorem shows that there is no vertex having degree one in Γ_R.

Theorem 2.3. *Γ_R is not a complete bipartite graph for any finite non-commutative ring R.*

Proof. Let R be a finite non-commutative ring such that Γ_R is a complete bipartite graph. Then we have two disjoint subsets S_1 and S_2 of $V(\Gamma_R)$ such that $|S_1| + |S_2| = |R| - |Z(R)|$. Therefore $R \cap S_1 \neq \phi$ and $R \cap S_2 \neq \phi$. Let $a \in R \cap S_1$ and $b \in R \cap S_2$. Then $ab \neq ba$. If $a + b \in R \cap S_1$ or $R \cap S_2$ then both give $ab = ba$, a contradiction. So $a + b \in Z(R)$ which gives $ab = ba$, a contradiction. Hence, the theorem follows. □

Theorem 2.4. *Γ_R is not a complete graph for any finite non commutative ring R with unity.*

Proof. Let R be a non-commutative ring with unity such that Γ_R is complete. Then for $r \in V(\Gamma_R)$ we have

$$\deg(r) = |V(\Gamma_R)| - 1 = |R| - |Z(R)| - 1.$$

By (1), we have $|R| - |C_R(r)| = |R| - |Z(R)| - 1$. This gives $|Z(R)| = 1$ and $|C_R(r)| = 2$, a contradiction since $|Z(R)| \geq 2$ and $|C_R(r)| \geq 3$. Hence, the result follows. □

We conclude this section by obtaining a dominating set for Γ_R. Recall that a dominating set of a graph Γ_R is a subset D of $V(\Gamma_R)$ such that every vertex in $V(\Gamma_R) \setminus D$ is adjacent to at least one member of D.

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be a finite non-commutative ring with unity. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n\}$ be generating sets for R. If $A \cap Z(R) = \{a_{c+1}, \ldots, a_m\}$ and $B \cap Z(R) = \{b_{d+1}, \ldots, b_n\}$ then $D = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_c, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_d\}$ is a dominating set for Γ_R.*

Proof. Clearly $D \subseteq V(\Gamma_R)$. Let $r \in V(\Gamma_R)$ such that $r \notin D$. So there exists a vertex $s \in R$ such that $s = g_i b_1^{\alpha_{1i}} b_2^{\alpha_{2i}} \ldots b_p^{\alpha_{pi}}$ where $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_{ji} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $b_j \in B$; and $s = h_j a_1^{\alpha_{1j}} a_2^{\alpha_{2j}} \ldots a_q^{\alpha_{qj}}$ where $h_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $a_i \in A$ such that $rs \neq sr$. Thus $rb_i \neq b_i r$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ and $rs_j \neq s_j r$ for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq c$. Hence the result follows. □

As a corollary of the above theorem, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.6. *Let R be a finite non-commutative ring with unity. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}$ be a generating set for R. If $S \cap Z(R) = \{s_{m+1}, \ldots, s_n\}$ then $D = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ is a dominating set for Γ_R.*

3. Relation between Γ_R and $\Pr(R)$

The commuting probability of a finite ring R, denoted by $\Pr(R)$, is given by the following ratio

$$\Pr(R) = \frac{|\{(a,b) \in R \times R : ab = ba\}|}{|R|^2}. \tag{2}$$

Note that $\Pr(R_1) = \Pr(R_2)$ if R_1, R_2 are two finite non-commutative rings such that $|Z(R_1)| = |Z(R_2)|$ and $\Gamma_{R_1}, \Gamma_{R_2}$ are isomorphic. In this section, we derive the following relation between $|E(\Gamma_R)|$ and $\Pr(R)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a finite non-commutative ring. Then the number of edges of Γ_R is*

$$|E(\Gamma_R)| = \frac{|R|^2}{2}(1 - \Pr(R)).$$

Proof. Let $S = \{(a,b) \in R \times R : ab \neq ba\}$. Then, by (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|E(\Gamma_R)| = |S| &= |R|^2 - |\{(a,b) \in R \times R : ab = ba\}| \\ &= |R|^2 - |R|^2 \Pr(R). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows. □

As a corollary to Theorem 3.1, we have the following lower bound for $\Pr(R)$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a non-commutative ring. Then*

$$\Pr(R) \geq \frac{2|Z(R)|}{|R|} + \frac{1}{|R|} - \frac{|Z(R)|^2}{|R|^2} - \frac{|Z(R)|}{|R|^2}.$$

Proof. We know that for every graph with n vertices, the number of edges is at most $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Therefore

$$|E(\Gamma_R)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|R| - |Z(R)|)(|R| - |Z(R)| - 1).$$

Hence, using Theorem 3.1, we have the required result. □

We also have the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *There is no finite non-commutative ring R with trivial center such that $\Pr(R) = 1 - 2/|R| + 4/|R|^2$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a finite non-commutative ring R such that $|Z(R)| = 1$ and

$$\Pr(R) = 1 - 2/|R| + 4/|R|^2.$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$|E(\Gamma_R)| = |R| - |Z(R)| - 1 = |V(\Gamma_R)| - 1.$$

This shows that there is a non-commutative ring R with trivial center such that Γ_R is a star graph, which is a contradiction (by Theorem 2.2). Hence, the result follows. □

Now we obtain some bounds for $|E(\Gamma_R)|$ as consequences of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a finite non-commutative ring and p the smallest prime dividing $|R|$. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_R)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|R| - |Z(R)|)(|R| - p).$$

Proof. The result follows from [7, Theorem 2.1] and Theorem 3.1. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let R be a non-commutative ring. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_R)| \geq \frac{3|R|^2}{16}.$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3.1 noting that $\Pr(R) \leq \frac{5}{8}$. □

We conclude this section with another lower bound for $|E(\Gamma_R)|$ and a consequence of it.

Proposition 3.6. *Let R be a finite non-commutative ring. Then*

$$|E(\Gamma_R)| \geq \frac{|R|}{4}(|R| - |Z(R)|).$$

Proof. By (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|E(\Gamma_R)| &= \sum_{r \in V(\Gamma_R)} (|R| - |C_R(r)|) \\ &\geq (|R| - |Z(R)|)\left(|R| - \frac{|R|}{2}\right) = \frac{|R|}{2}(|R| - |Z(R)|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the required result. □

Using Theorem 3.1 in Proposition 3.6, we have the following upper bound for $\Pr(R)$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let R be a finite non-commutative ring. Then*

$$\Pr(R) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{|Z(R)|}{|R|}.$$

4. Relation between $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism and Γ_R

Hall [11] introduced the notion of isoclinism between two groups and Lescot [12] showed that the commuting probability of two isoclinic finite groups are same. Later on Buckley, MacHale and Ní shé [6] introduced the concept of $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between two rings and showed that the commuting probability of two isoclinic finite rings are same. Recall that two rings R_1 and R_2 are said to be $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic (see [6]) if there exist additive group isomorphisms $\phi : \frac{R_1}{Z(R_1)} \to \frac{R_2}{Z(R_2)}$ and $\psi : [R_1, R_1] \to [R_2, R_2]$ such that $\psi([u, v]) = [u', v']$ whenever $\phi(u + Z(R_1)) = u' + Z(R_2)$ and $\phi(v + Z(R_1)) = v' + Z(R_2)$.

We have the following main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two finite rings such that $|Z(R_1)| = |Z(R_2)|$. If R_1 and R_2 are $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic then $\Gamma_{R_1} \cong \Gamma_{R_2}$.*

Proof. Let (ϕ, ψ) be a $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between R_1 and R_2. Then $|\frac{R_1}{Z(R_1)}| = |\frac{R_2}{Z(R_2)}|$ and $|[R_1, R_1]| = |[R_2, R_2]|$. Since $|Z(R_1)| = |Z(R_2)|$ we have $|R_1| = |R_2|$ and $|R_1 \setminus Z(R_1)| = |R_2 \setminus Z(R_2)|$. Let $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n\}$ and $\{r'_1, r'_2, \ldots, r'_n\}$ be transversals for $\frac{R_1}{Z(R_1)}$ and $\frac{R_2}{Z(R_2)}$ respectively. Let ϕ be defined as $\phi(r_i + Z(R_1)) = r'_i + Z(R_2)$ where $r_i \in R_1$ and $r'_i \in R_2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Also, let $\theta : Z(R_1) \to Z(R_2)$ be a one-to-one correspondence. Let us define a map $\alpha : R_1 \to R_2$ such that $\alpha(r_i + z) = r'_i + \theta(z)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $z \in Z(R_1)$. Then α is a bijection. This shows that α is also a bijection from $R_1 \setminus Z(R_1)$ to $R_2 \setminus Z(R_2)$. Suppose r, s are adjacent in Γ_{R_1}. Then $[r, s] \neq 0$, this gives $[r_i + z, r_j + z_1] \neq 0$ for some $z, z_1 \in Z(R_1)$, $r_i, r_j \in \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n\}$ and $r = r_i + z$, $s = r_j + z_1$.

Thus $[r'_i + \theta(z), r'_j + \theta(z_1)] \neq 0$ for some $\theta(z), \theta(z_1) \in Z(R_2)$ and $r'_i, r'_j \in \{r'_1, r'_2, \ldots, r'_n\}$. Hence $[\alpha(r_i + z), \alpha(r_j + z_1)] \neq 0$, that is $\alpha(r)$ and $\alpha(s)$ are adjacent. Thus the result follows. □

References

[1] Abdollahi, A.(2007) *Engel graph associated with a group*, J. Algebra **318**, 680–691.

[2] Abdollahi, A.(2008) *Commuting graph of full matrix rings over finite fields*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428**, 2947–2954.

[3] Abdollahi, A., Akbari, S. and Maimani, H. R. (2006) *Non-commuting graph of a group*, J. Algebra **298**, 468–492.

[4] Beck, I.(1988) *Coloring of commutative rings*, J. Algebra **116**, 208–226.

[5] Buckley, S. M. and Machale, D. *Contrasting the commuting probabilities of groups and rings*, Preprint.

[6] Buckley, S. M., Machale, D. and Ní Shé, A. *Finite rings with many commuting pairs of elements*, Preprint.

[7] Dutta, J. and Basnet, D. K. *Some bounds for commuting probability of finite rings*, Preprint.
[8] Dutta, J., Basnet, D. K. and Nath, R. K. *On commuting probability of finite rings*, Indag. Math. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2016.10.002.
[9] Dutta, J. and Nath, R. K. *Spectrum and genus of commuting graphs of some classes of finite rings*, Preprint.
[10] Erfanian, A., Khashyarmanesh, K. and Nafar, Kh. (2015) *Non-commuting graphs of rings*, Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl. **7**(3), 1550027-1–1550027-7.
[11] Hall, P. (1940) *The classification of prime power groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **182**, 130–141.
[12] Lescot, P. (1995) *Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups*, J. Algebra **177**, 847–869.
[13] MacHale, D.(1976) *Commutativity in finite rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly **83**, 30–32.
[14] Omidi G. R. and Vatandoost E. (2011) *On the commuting graph of rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **10**(3), 521–527.